Mar-26-04

09:07am

From-Sandia Patents

+5058441418

P.002/005 T-718

Application No. 09/695,809 SD-6337, S-92307

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Application No.: 09/695,809

Confirmation No. : 4279

Applicant

: Spletzer et. al

Title: Fractional Screen Video Enhance

Apparatus

Filed

10/24/2000

Art Unit

: 2675

Docket No.

: SD-6337, S-92307

Examiner

: Chanh Duy Nguyen

Customer No.

: 020567

Applicant Requested Interview Summary, per 37 CFR 1.113(b), MPEP 502.03 and MPEP 713.04

The Commissioner for Patents P.O Box 1450 Washington, D.C. 20231

Date: 03/26/04

RE:

Type of Interview:

Telephonic

Date of Interview:

03/02/2004

Participants:

Chanh Duy Nguyen (Examiner) and, William R. Conley (Reg. No. 55,844)

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: No

Claims discussed: Claim 1

Prior Art Discussed: U.S. Pat. No. 6,549,215 to Jouppl Agreement with respect to Claim 1 was not reached.

Sir:

Submitted herein is applicants summary of the above telephonic interview held in the benefit of the subject application. Attached hereto is Examiner's summary of the same interview, said Examiner's summary having been mailed to applicant on 03/10/2004. Note that on 03/09/2004, Applicants submitted a Request for Continued Examination concurrently with a complete response to the Office's final Action dated 12/16/2003.

A copy of the Examiner's summary begins on page 2. Applicant's interview summary begins on page 4.

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/695,809	SPLETZER ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Chanh Nguyen	2675
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):		
(1) Chanh Nguyen.	(3)	
(2) William Conley (P-55,844).	(4)	
Date of Interview: 02 March 2004.		
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]		
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)		
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1</u> .		
Identification of prior art discussed: Jouppi.		
Agreement with respect to the claims f)□ was reached. g)⊠ was not reached. h)□ N/A.		
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .		
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)		
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.		
	•	•
		٠.
The Many Many and Size this form well as 2 % in 5-	a Chow	Imagen
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner's sign	nature, if required

Mar-26-04 09:08am From-Sandia Patents

+5058441418

T-718 P.004/005 F-578

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-413)

Application No. 09/695,809

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: discussed the same argument presented by applicant on August 21, 2003 that Joupii does not disclose the image comprise a first portion to be dispalyed at a first resolution and a second portion, mobile with respect to the first portion, to be displayed at a second resolution Examiner disagrees with applicant as pointed out in the rejection and in the reponse to arguments. Applicant's representative presents the invention in term of "window" and overlapping". However, these term are not recited in the claims

Application No. 09/695,809 SD-6337, S-92307

Applicant Requested Interview Summary, per CFR 1.113(b), MPEP 502.03 and MPEP 713.04

Application No.: 09/695,809 Applicant

: Spletzer et. al

Confirmation No.: 4279

Title: Fractional Screen Video Enhancement

Apparatus

Filed

: 10/24/2000

Art Unit Examiner : 2675

: Chanh Duy Nguyen

Docket No.

: SD-6337, S-92307

Customer No.

: 020567

Date: 03/24/04

Type of Interview:

Telephonic

Date of Interview:

03/26/2004

Participants:

Chanh Duy Nguyen (Examiner) and, William R. Conley (Reg. No. 55,844)

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: No

Claims discussed: Claim 1

Prior Art Discussed: U.S. Pat. No. 6,549,215 to Jouppi Agreement with respect to Claim 1 was not reached.

Substance of interview:

Applicant requested the Interview to develop and clarify issues regarding Applicant's daim 1 and to present in new light, arguments that support Applicants claim 1 over the Jouppi reference. Specifically, Applicant requested the interview to point out and clarify that the Jouppi reference does not teach a display where one image portion is movable with respect to a second image portion, as in Applicant's claim 1. Applicant pointed out Jouppi's Figure 8, which clearly illustrates image portions are fixed in their relative locations on the display. Applicant illustrated this argument in terms of a "window" comprising an image portion "overlapping" and "movable" over another image portion. The term "window" is well known in the art to be equivalent to an "image portion" and is consistent and equivalent to language presented in the original specification and claim 1. The fact that one image portion or "window" is overlapping another, is illustrated in Applicant's Figure 1. The interview concluded without agreement as to the rejection of claim 1 in view of the Jouppi reference. Applicant firmly believes the arguments presented clearly distinguish Applicant's invention over the prior art, and the rejection of claim 1 should be withdrawn.

Respectfully submitted

William R. Conley, Agent for Applicants

Reg. No. 55,844 Ph: (505) 845-9110 Fax: (505) 844-2363

Certificate of Transmission under 37 CFR 1.10

I hereby certify that this correspondence was transmitted via facsimile to the U.S. Patent and Trademark

Office at phone no. (703)-872-9306 on 03/26/2004.

William R. Conley

RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

A fax from Sandia Patents & Licensing

To: US Patent and Trademark Office

OFFICIAL

Application No: 09/695,809

Art Unit: 2675

Fax: 703-872-9306

Examiner: Nguyen, Chanh Duy

Filed: 10/24/2000

Title: Fractional Screen Video Enhancement Apparatus

From: William R. Conley, Patent Agent

Company: Sandia National Labs - Org. 11500 - MS 0161

Phone: 505 845-9110

Fax: 505 844-1418

Date: March 26, 2004

Pages including this cover page: 5

Attached is a Summary of an Applicant Requested Interview, per 37 CFR 1.113(b).

Respectfully Submitted;

Man R. Corf

William R. Conley Reg. No. 55,844